Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Young Ja Park,^a* Jinmi Bae^a and Myoung Soo Lah^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Sookmyung Women's University, Seoul 140-742, South Korea, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry and Applied Chemistry, Hanyang University, Ansan 426-791, South Korea

Correspondence e-mail: yjpark@sookmyung.ac.kr

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 173 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.058 wR factor = 0.169 Data-to-parameter ratio = 8.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Cholesteryl isobutylcarbonate

Cholesteryl isobutylcarbonate, $C_{32}H_{54}O_3$, contains two molecules (*A* and *B*) in the asymmetric unit. The isobutylcarbonate chain of molecule *A* and the isoprenoid tail of molecule *B* are each conformationally disordered over two positions. The two distinct molecules form separate stacks along the screw axes so that they are arranged in an antiparallel array, forming monolayers with a thickness of $d_{001} = 19.412$ Å. The central regions of the monolayers are characterized by efficient molecular packing and are separated by interface regions, which are more loosely packed. Received 22 June 2005 Accepted 24 June 2005 Online 30 June 2005

Comment

A series of crystal structures of the esters and carbonates of cholesterol (Ahn & Park, 1990; Kang et al., 1985; Yun et al., 1989; Park & Shin, 2002; Park, 2004a,b) has been examined in order to obtain structural information relevant to the liquid crystalline phases and the possible modes of association of the cholesterol derivatives themselves, as well as of other substances in biological systems (Abrahamsson et al., 1977). An examination of the unit-cell parameters of the cholesterol derivatives suggests that the majority of the derivatives might have one of three common crystal packing arrangements (Craven, 1986; Craven & DeTitta, 1976; Guerina & Craven, 1979; Suh et al., 1988; Park & Craven, 1981). However, the crystal data of cholesteryl isobutylcarbonate, (I), obtained in this study indicate that this carbonate belongs to none of these three crystal structure types. Therefore, the primary aim of this study was to obtain structural information on the conformation and the type of molecular interactions.



© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

5207 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.036$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.0^{\circ}$

 $h = -9 \rightarrow 19$ $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$

 $l = -24 \rightarrow 25$

Cholesteryl isobutylcarbonate contains two molecules (A and B) in the asymmetric unit. The bond lengths and angles agree well with those of other cholesterol derivatives. The conformations are quite similar for the tetracyclic ring systems in molecules A and B. The selected torsion angles in Table 1 are for the cholesteryl tails and carbonate chains, where the major differences in conformation occur. Because of the weak intermolecular forces in the non-polar cholesteryl isobutylcarbonate structure, there appears to be conformational and dynamic disorder. These effects are particularly severe in the tail at C17 and also in the carbonate chain. The isobutylcarbonate chain of molecule A (C28-C31/O1/O28) and the C17 isoprenoid tail of molecule B (C20-C27) are conformationally disordered over two positions. The C17-C26 tail of the two molecules is almost fully extended, as in most cholesterol derivatives. There are differences involving the last four atoms (C24-C27). The terminal isopropyl group of molecule (A) has a (-)-gauche conformation, but that of molecule (B) has a (+)gauche conformation. The conformation at the carbonate chain in the two molecules is different: the carbonate chains of both molecules are fully extended.

The crystal structure of cholesteryl isobutylcarbonate consists of antiparallel molecules arranged to form monolayers that are parallel to the crystal plane (001), with a thickness of $d_{001} = 19.412$ Å. Each layer comprises a row of twofold screw-related pairs of molecules A and molecules B, packed tail to tail. The monolayers are regions of closely packed molecules that are separated by interface regions where the atoms are more loosely packed. A significant level of cholesteryl-alkyl packing is present at the centre of the monolayers. These are between the A-cholesteryl and the first part of the A-isoprenoid tails (C20-C23), B-cholesteryl and Bisoprenoid tails, B-isoprenoid and a part of the A-cholesteryl, and A-isoprenoid and a part of the B-cholesteryl groups. The isobutylcarbonate chains of both molecules A and B as well as the second part of the isoprenoid tails (C24-C27) of molecules A, are loosely packed to form a monolayer interface region. In this crystal structure, the C17 isoprenoid tails do not pack with each other.

As expected, the crystals do not belong to any of the three main crystal structure types, but have a structure similar to that of cholesteryl isobutyrate (Kim et al., 1989). Both crystal structures form monolayers. The main structural differences are the packing mode and the degree of overlap of the cholesteryl ring systems at the centre of the monolayers, with more efficient cholesteryl packing in the isobutyrate than in the isobutylcarbonate. Most of the layered structures show liquid crystalline states (Barrall, 1979), but these were not observed in the crystals of cholesteryl isobutylcarbonate and cholesteryl isobutyrate.

Experimental

The title compound was obtained from Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co. Ltd. Crystals were obtained by recrystallization from an acetone solution.

- J	
$C_{32}H_{54}O_3$	$D_x = 1.078 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 486.75$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, P2 ₁	Cell parameters from 4364
a = 15.005 (3) Å	reflections
b = 10.2992 (19) Å	$\theta = 2.4-28.1^{\circ}$
c = 19.602 (4) Å	$\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 97.984 \ (3)^{\circ}$	T = 173 (2) K
$V = 3000.0 (10) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, colourless
Z = 4	$0.75 \times 0.65 \times 0.43 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector
diffractometer
ω scans
Absorption correction: none
16321 measured reflections
6790 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.1004P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.058$	+ 0.2345P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.169$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.04	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.003$
6790 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.31 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
788 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
	Extinction coefficient: 0.0021 (9)

Table 1

Comparison of selected torsion angles (°).

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} C3-O3-C28-O1 & -178.4(6) & -171.9 \\ & -173.3(13) & & & \\ C3-O3-C28-O28 & 2.5(13) & 4.6 \\ & 2(4) & & \\ O3-C28-O1-C29 & -178.1(8) & 175.9 \\ & 177.2(16) & & \\ C28-O1-C29-C30 & 103.8(11) & -158.8 \\ & 174.0(17) & & \\ O1-C29-C30-C31 & 176.9(11) & 178.9 \\ & -177.4(18) & & \\ O1-C29-C30-C32 & -55.3(14) & -56.1(16) \\ \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} -173.3 (13) \\ C3-O3-C28-O28 \\ 03-C28-O1-C29 \\ 177.2 (16) \\ C28-O1-C29-C30 \\ 01-C29-C30-C31 \\ 01-C29-C30-C31 \\ 01-C29-C30-C32 \\ 01-C32-C30-C32 \\ 01-C32-C30-C32-C30-C32 \\ 01-C32-C30-C32-C30-C32 \\ 01-C32-C30-C32-C30-C32 \\ 0$	(3)
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C3-O3-C28-O28 & 2.5 (13) & 4.6 \\ & 2 (4) & & \\ O3-C28-O1-C29 & -178.1 (8) & 175.9 \\ & 177.2 (16) & & \\ C28-O1-C29-C30 & 103.8 (11) & -158.8 \\ & 174.0 (17) & & \\ O1-C29-C30-C31 & 176.9 (11) & 178.9 \\ & -177.4 (18) & & \\ O1-C29-C30-C32 & -55.3 (14) & -56.1 \\ \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & $	(7)
$\begin{array}{ccccc} O3-C28-O1-C29 & -178.1 \ (8) & 175.9 \\ & 177.2 \ (16) & \\ C28-O1-C29-C30 & 103.8 \ (11) & -158.8 \\ & 174.0 \ (17) & \\ O1-C29-C30-C31 & 176.9 \ (11) & 178.9 \\ & -177.4 \ (18) & \\ O1-C29-C30-C32 & -55.3 \ (14) & -56.1 \ (16) & \\ \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	(4)
$\begin{array}{ccccc} C28-O1-C29-C30 & 103.8 (11) & -158.8 \\ & & 174.0 (17) \\ O1-C29-C30-C31 & 176.9 (11) & 178.9 \\ & & -177.4 (18) \\ O1-C29-C30-C32 & -55.3 (14) & -56.1 \\ \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	(4)
O1-C29-C30-C31 176.9 (11) -177.4 (18) O1-C29-C30-C32 -55.3 (14) -56.1 (12) -56.1 (12	
-177.4 (18) O1-C29-C30-C32 -55.3 (14) -56.1 ((4)
O1 - C29 - C30 - C32 -55.3 (14) -56.1 (14)	
	(10)
70.6 (19)	
C13-C17-C20-C21 -55.3 (4) -77.8	$-77.8~(6)^{c}$
-60.7	$(9)^{d}$
C13-C17-C20-C22 -177.6 (3) 160.2	(7)
-178.8	(9)
C17-C20-C22-C23 -165.9 (3) 179.5	(8)
-173.8	(11)
C20-C22-C23-C24 179.2 (3) 168.9	(10)
-173.3	(16)
C22-C23-C24-C25 176.3 (5) -178.6	(10)
173.3	(18)
C23 - C24 - C25 - C26 - 173.0(5) 170.1	(9)
167.1	(19)
C23-C24-C25-C27 69.8 (7) -66.4	(12)
-67	-67(2)

Occupancy factors: (a) 0.600 (8), (b) 0.400 (8), (c) 0.621 (8), (d) 0.379 (7).

Because of the lack of significant anomalous scattering, Friedel pairs could not be used to determine the absolute configuration. Therefore, they were merged before the final refinement. The absolute configuration was assigned on the basis of the known configuration of the cholesteryl hexanoate molecule. All H atoms were placed in calculated positions in a riding model, with C-H distances

organic papers



Figure 1

The molecular conformations of the two crystallographically independent molecules of cholesteryl isobutylcarbonate. The dashed lines indicate the bonds of the minor disorder components of the molecules. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

in the range 0.95–1.00 Å and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ or $1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for the methyl groups.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPIII* (Burnett & Johnson, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology (KOSEF; R04-2003-000-10169-0).

References

- Abrahamsson, S., Dahlen, B., Lofgren, H., Pascher, I. & Sundell, S. (1977). Structure of Biological Membranes, edited by S. Abrahamsson & I. Pascher, pp. 1–8. New York, London: Plenum Press.
- Ahn, C. T. & Park, Y. J. (1990). J. Korean Chem. Soc. 34, 1-9.
- Barrall, E. M. (1979). Thermodynamics of Mesophase Transitions, in Liquid Crystals, edited by Franklin D. Saeva, pp. 335–363. New York: Marcel Dekker Inc.
- Bruker (1999). SMART (Version 5.0) and SAINT-Plus (Version 6.0). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). ORTEPIII. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Craven, B. M. (1986). Cholesterol Crystal Structure: Adducts and Esters, in The Physical Chemistry of Lipids, Handbook of Lipid Research, Vol. 4, edited by D. M. Small, pp. 149–182. New York: Plenum Press.



Figure 2

The crystal packing viewed down the b axis. The c axis is horizontal. H atoms have been omitted.

Craven, B. M. & DeTitta, G. T. (1976). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 814–822.

- Guerina, N. G. & Craven, B. M. (1979). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 1414–1419.
- Kang, B. K., Chung, M. J. & Park, Y. J. (1985). Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 6, 333– 337.
- Kim, M. H., Park, Y. J. & Ahn, C. T. (1989). Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 10, 177– 185.
- Park, Y. J. (2004a). Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 25, 751-753.
- Park, Y. J. (2004b). Korean J. Crystallogr. 15, 29-34.
- Park, Y. J. & Craven, B. M. (1981). J. Korean Chem. Soc. 25, 131-139.
- Park Y. J. & Shin, J. M. (2002). Korean J. Crystallogr. 13, 21-24.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Suh, I.-H., Ko, T.-S., Park, Y. J., Yoon, Y. K. & Saenger, W. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 2163–2167.
- Yun, M. K., Park, Y. J., Shin, W. & Craven, B. M. (1989). Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 10, 335–339.